

GRAND ROUNDS



MHIF Cardiovascular Grand Rounds | October 31, 2022

Coronary Chronic Total Occlusion Percutaneous Coronary Intervention: Analysis of Criticisms

SALMAN ALLANA, MD, FACC, FSCAI CTO/CHIP FELLOW MINNEAPOLIS HEART INSTITUTE FOUNDATION

Criticism 1: No clear benefit in randomized controlled trials

- DECISION CTO
- ► EURO CTO
- ► EXPLORE
- ► REVASC
- ► IMPACTOR CTO





Criticism 3: CTO PCI has low success rate

TABLE 2 Success and MA	ACE Rates of CTO	PCI Over Time					
Outcome	Total (N = 22,365)	2009 (n = 2,695)	2010 (n = 6,373)	2011 (n = 6,161)	2012 (n = 5,650)	2013 (n = 1,486)	p Value
CTO PCI as percentage of total PCI volume	22,365 of 594,510 (3.8)	2,695 of 84,483 (3.2)	6,373 of 183,649 (3.5)	6,161 of 160,072 (3.8)	5,650 of 135,331 (4.2)	1,486 of 30,975 (4.8)	<0.001
Procedural success	13,077 (58.5)	1,495 (55.5)	3,637 (57.1)	3,645 (59.2)	3,380 (59.8%)	920 (61.9)	< 0.001
MACE	357 (1.6)	50 (1.9)	103 (1.6)	104 (1.7)	81 (1.4)	19 (1.3)	0.108

▶ Patent vessel PCI success rate 96%

Brilakis M et al. JACC Cardiovasc Interv 2015:8; 245–53







Criticism 3: CTO PCI has low success rate

- Progress CTO registry
- ▶ Data from highest volume CTO PCI US centers
- ▶ 3122 CTO PCI attempted between 2012 and 2017
- ▶ Technical success rate for CTO PCI was 86.8%
- Success rate as the final strategy
- > 46% for AWE
- > 19% ADR
- > 24% Retrograde (Retrograde wire escalation and dissection reentry)

Tajti P et al. JACC Cardiovasc Interv 2018: 11; 1325-35



Criticism CC TABLE 1 Comparison of F CTO PCI Versus Those Und	Patients With Stabl	Catic	on ra	te
Variable	All Patients (N = 594,510)	CTO PCI (n = 22,365)	Non-CTO PCI (n = 572,145)	p Value
PCI outcomes				
Procedural success	94	59	96	< 0.001
MACE	0.8	1.6	0.8	< 0.001
Death	0.3	0.4	0.3	< 0.001
Urgent CABG surgery	0.4	0.8	0.4	< 0.001
Stroke	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.045
Tamponade	0.1	0.3	0.1	< 0.001
MI	1.9	2.7	1.9	< 0.001
RBC transfusion	1.9	2.7	1.9	< 0.001
Contrast volume	189.1 ± 92.3	$\textbf{243.8} \pm \textbf{124.7}$	187.0 ± 90.1	< 0.001

Brilakis ES et al. JACC Cardiovasc Interv 2015:8; 245–53

11



ragistry		in nospitat ingjor	complications clas	sinea According t	o Final Successful	crossing strategy			
Jiegisiry	8%				AWE ADR	Retrograde]		p<0.0001
Complication rate	6%	p<0.0001							
3.04%									
0.85%	4%								
1.08%		- 1	n=0 0171	p<0.0001					
0.26%	2%		provini		p=0.2629	n=0.1228		p=0.2999	
0.16%			- I			p=0.1220	p=0.6637		
0.36%	0%	MACE overall	Death	Acute MI	Stroke	Re-PCI	Emergency CABG	Pericardial tamponade	Perforation
0.0597	AWE	1.09%	0.36%	0.00%	0.14%	0.14%	0.14%	0.43%	1.16%
0.85%	Retrograde	2.96% 5.61%	1.50%	2.46%	0.35%	0.52%	0.14%	0.96%	5.22%
	3.04% 0.85% 1.08% 0.26% 0.16%	Complication rate 8% 3.04% 6% 0.85% 6% 1.08% 2% 0.16% 6% 0.36% 6%	Complication rate 8% 3.04% 6% 0.85% 1.08% 0.26% 2% 0.16% 6% 0.36% 0.85%	Complication rate 8% 3.04% 9 0.85% 1 1.08% 9 0.16% 0 0.36% 0.85% 0.85% 1.08% 0.36% 0.36% 0.85% 1.08%	Complication rate 3.04% 9 0.85% 9 1.08% 9 0.26% 9 0.16% 0% 0.36% 0.36% 0.85% 1.0%	Complication rate 8% 3.04% 0.85% 1.08% 90.001 0.26% 90.001 0.16% 90.001 0.36% 0.36% 0.85% 1.09% 0.36% 0.38% 0.85% 1.09%	Complication rate % 3.04%	Complication rate 8% 3.04% 0.85% 1.08% p=0.001 0.26% p=0.0171 0.36% p=0.0171 0.36% p=0.0171 0.36% MCE everall 0.36% 0.35% 0.36% 0.35%	Complication rate 8% 3.04%

Criticism 4: CTO PCI has higher complication rate

TABLE 4 In-Hospital Complications of the Entire	re Cohort
MACCE	70 (7.0)
Death	9 (0.9)
Myocardial infarction	26 (2.6)
Stroke	0 (0.0)
Emergent surgery	7 (0.7)
Clinical perforation	48 (4.8)

Sapontis J et al. J Am Coll Cardiol Intv 2017;10:1523-34

13

Coronary Intervention Related Mortality

- ▶ Chronic total occlusion PCI 0.9%
- ▶ Routine PCI 0.65%
- ► Left main PCI 1.0%
- ▶ Significant calcification that require atherectomy 2.3%
- ▶ Device assisted PCI 7.6%
- ▶ PCI in patients turned down for CABG surgery 7%
- ▶ PCI in the elderly (≥80 years old) 3.2%
- ► Graft vessel intervention 1.1%

Riley RF, Henry TD, Kong JA, et al. A CHIP fellow's transition into practice: Building a complex coronary therapeutics program [published online ahead of print, 2019 Nov 25]. Catheter Cardiovasc Interv. 2019;10.1002/ccd.28599. doi:10.1002/ccd.28599

Criticism 5: CTO PCI may have less durable result than CABG





Figure 2. One-year patency (%) of grafts done on pump vs off pump (n=255).

Figure 4. Percent graft patency in chronic collateralized total coronary occlusions.

Widimsky P et al. Circulation. 2004;110:3418-3423



Criticism 5: CTO PCI has less durable result than CABG

NO DIABETES (n=166)

+ 6.6%

12.5%

4.8%

7.8%

MACE YEAR 1

MACE YEAR 2

TVR YEAR 1

TVR YEAR 2 DIABETES (n=44)

+

Ģ

G

22.7%

36.3%

15.9%

27.3%

- Consistent CTO trial prospective, multicenter, single-arm trial of patients with appropriate indications for CTO PCI.
- Successful CTO PCI was performed in 210 of 231 patients (91% success).
- AWE 34%, RWE, 18% RWE, 18% ADR, 30% RDR
- ▶ 2nd generation EES DES used in all
- ▶ IVUS used in 90.5%
- ► Target vessel failure at 1 year was 5.7%
- ▶ Reocclusion 3.4%
- ► Binary in stent restenosis 14.5%

Walsh S et al. J Am Coll Cardiol Intv 2020;13:1448-57





MHIF Cardiovascular Grand Rounds | October 31, 2022



19







Post-Shock Asystole and Inappropriate Shocks in Patients Dying Out-of-Hospital While Wearing a Defibrillator

JUSTIN M. BERGER MD, JAY D. SENGUPTA MD, ALAN J. BANK MD, SUSAN A. CASEY RN, SCOTT W. SHARKEY MD, ROBERT G. HAUSER MD

Heart Rhythm Science Center, Minneapolis Heart Institute Foundation, Minneapolis, MN

1

Disclosures

None





 Queried the U.S. FDA Manufacturers and User Facility Device Experience (MAUDE) database for deaths reported from January 2017-April 2022 in patients wearing a LifeVest[®] wearable cardioverter-defibrillator.

Exclusion Criteria:

- Patients who were hospitalized at the time of the event
- Patients who did not receive a shock
- Patient in asystole or CPR artifact when first shock delivered













Conclusion

- Post-shock asystole and post-shock bradycardia were common in patients who died out of hospital after receiving appropriate LWD shocks.
- •Most patients received one or more inappropriate shocks, and some appeared to be lethal.
- •Whether back-up pacing, and better rhythm and noise discrimination could improve outcomes warrants further investigation.

11

Acknowledgements

This study was funded by the Joseph F. Novogratz Family Heart Rhythm Center at the Minneapolis Heart Institute Foundation.









Background

There is limited data on use of the Subintimal Tracking And Re-entry (STAR) technique for Chronic Total Occlusion (CTO) Percutaneous Coronary Intervention (PCI).



GRAND ROUNDS

ounda















Results- Baseline clinical characteristics of the study patients STAR used STAR not used Variable P value (n= 433) (n= 1876) Age (years)^a 65.7 ± 9 65.2 ± 10 0.283 345 (85.8%) 1494 (86.0%) 0.922 Men BMI (kg/m²)^a 30.3 ± 6 30.5 ± 6 0.678 **Diabetes Mellitus** 164 (41.7%) 714 (42.5%) 0.774 Hypertension 368 (93.4%) 1525 (90.1%) 0.044 Dyslipidemia 360 (90.5%) 1568 (92.2%) 0.241 LVEF (%)^a 50 ± 12 50 ± 13 0.923 **Congestive Heart Failure** 115 (29.5%) 464 (28.4%) 0.659 Prior Myocardial Infarction 180 (48.0%) 732 (45.7%) 0.426 Prior CABG 165 (41.3%) 597 (34.1%) 0.007 Prior CVD 43 (11.1%) 157 (9.5%) 0.349 Prior PVD 60 (15.3%) 255 (15.5%) 0.934

BMI: body mass index; LVEF: left ventricular ejection fraction; CAD: coronary artery disease; CABG: coronary artery bypass grafting; CVD: cerebrovascular disease; PVD: peripheral vascular disease; a mean ± standard deviation

/ariable	STAR used (n= 433)	STAR not used (n= 1876)	P value	
CTO Target Vessel				
 Right coronary artery 	240 (56.9%)	1137 (61.9%)		
 Left anterior descending coronary artery 	70 (16.6%)	405 (22.1%)	<0.001	
Left circumflex	101 (23.9%)	276 (15.0%)	<0.001	
 Left main 	1 (0.2%)	5 (0.3%)		
Other	10 (2.4%)	14 (0.8%)		
Calcification (moderate/severe)	249 (55.3%)	984 (51.7%)	0.166	
Proximal vessel tortuosity (moderate/severe)	187 (41.6%)	625 (32.8%)	<0.001	
Proximal cap ambiguity	189 (49.0%)	691 (43.2%)	0.041	
Side branch at the proximal cap	223 (57.9%)	908 (57.5%)	0.872	
In-stent restenosis	50 (12.2%)	241 (13.5%)	0.469	
Vessel diameter (mm) ^ь	3.0 (2.5, 3.0)	3.0 (2.5, 3.0)	0.450	
Occlusion length (mm) ^b	30 (20, 50)	30 (20, 50)	0.340	

Results- Baseline angi	ographic chara	acteristics of the	study patients.
Variable	STAR used (n= 433)	STAR not used (n= 1876)	P value
J-CTO score ^a	3.05 ± 1.08	2.87 ± 1.14	0.002
Progress CTO score ^a	1.58 ± 1.14	1.20 ± 1.04	<0.001
First Crossing Strategy			
 Antegrade wiring 	325 (72.2%)	1351 (71.1%)	<0.001
 Retrograde 	64 (14.2%)	167 (8.8%)	<0.001
 Antegrade dissection and re-entry 	61 (13.6%)	381 (20.1%)	
Successful Crossing Strategy			
 Antegrade wiring 	22 (4.9%)	143 (7.5%)	
 Retrograde 	15 (3.3%)	343 (18.1%)	<0.001
 Antegrade dissection and re-entry 	333 (74.0%)	1055 (55.6%)	
 None 	80 (17.8%)	355 (18.7%)	
Retrograde crossing strategy	210 (46.7%)	854 (44.9%)	0.493

J-CTO: Japan chronic total occlusion score, PROGRESS-CTO score: Prospective Global Registry for the Study of Chronic , ^a mean ± standard deviation





Variable	STAR used (n= 433)	STAR not used (n= 1876)	P value
Procedural time (min) ^ь	154 (115, 208)	155 (109, 208)	0.613
Fluoroscopy time (min) ^b	64 (46, 88)	60 (39, 87)	0.103
AK radiation dose (Gray) ^b	2.80 (1.64, 4.59)	2.93 (1.80, 4.65)	0.276
Contrast volume (mL) ^b	250 (174, 350)	260 (194, 364)	0.067
MACE	16 (3.70%)	66 (3.52%)	0.858
Death	3 (0.69%)	10 (0.53%)	0.689
Acute Myocardial Infarct	6 (1.39%)	18 (0.96%)	0.431
Re-PCI	0 (0.00%)	9 (0.48%)	0.149
Stroke	1 (0.23%)	4 (0.21%)	0.943
Emergency CABG	0 (0.00%)	2 (0.11%)	0.497
Pericardiocentesis	9 (2.08%)	33 (1.76%)	0.654
Perforation	52 (12.0%)	138 (7.4%)	0.002
Dissection/Thrombus of Donor Artery	4 (0.92%)	17 (0.91%)	0.972

Re-PCI: repeated percutaneous coronary intervention , CABG: coronary artery bypass grafting; ^b median (interquartile range)













•		ovascula	r History	
	Overall, N = 908	Male, N = 724	Female, N = 184	p-value
Age	45 (41, 48)	45 (41, 48)	45 (41, 48)	0.850
< 21	9 (1.0%)	8 (0.5%)	1 (0.5%)	
21-30	30 (3.3%)	26 (3.6%)	4 (2.2%)	
31-40	179 (19.7%)	144 (19.9%)	35 (19%)	
41-50	690 (76.0%)	546 (75.4%)	144 (78.2%)	
CV History				
Prior CAD	127 (14%)	98 (14%)	29 (16%)	0.407
Prior PCI	93 (10%)	74 (10%)	19 (10%)	0.958
Prior MI	95 (10%)	70 (9.7%)	25 (14%)	0.117
Prior CABG	11 (1.2%)	8 (1.1%)	3 (1.6%)	0.472
Prior Stroke/TIA	17(1.9%)	12 (1.7%)	5 (3.8%)	0.350

Kisk Factor Overall, N = 908 Male, N = 724 Female, N = 184 p-value BMI 29 (26, 34) 29 (26, 33) 30 (25, 35) 0.156 Smoking 625 (69%) 502 (69%) 123 (67%) 0.727 Family History of CAD 425 (47%) 344 (48%) 81 (45%) 0.448 Hyperlipidemia 367 (40%) 298 (41%) 69 (38%) 0.395 Hyperlipidemia 367 (40%) 266 (37%) 70 (38%) 0.002 Coagulopathy 19 (2.3%) 12 (1.9%) 7 (4.2%) 0.086 Illicit Drug Use Marijuana 17 (1.9%) 15 (2.1%) 2 (1.1%) 0.547 Cocaine 21 (2.3%) 14 (1.9%) 7 (3.8%) 0.165					
BMI 29 (26, 34) 29 (26, 33) 30 (25, 35) 0.156 Smoking 625 (69%) 502 (69%) 123 (67%) 0.727 Family History of CAD 425 (47%) 344 (48%) 81 (45%) 0.448 Hyperlipidemia 367 (40%) 298 (41%) 69 (38%) 0.395 Hypertension 336 (37%) 266 (37%) 70 (38%) 0.705 Type 2 Diabetes 116 (13%) 80 (11%) 36 (20%) 0.002 Coagulopathy 19 (2.3%) 12 (1.9%) 7 (4.2%) 0.086 Illicit Drug Use Image: Coagulopathy 17 (1.9%) 15 (2.1%) 2 (1.1%) 0.547 Marijuana 17 (1.2%) 14 (1.9%) 7 (3.8%) 0.165	ults: Risk Fa	ctors and	d Illicit D	orug Use)
Smoking 625 (69%) 502 (69%) 123 (67%) 0.727 Family History of CAD 425 (47%) 344 (48%) 81 (45%) 0.448 Hyperlipidemia 367 (40%) 298 (41%) 69 (38%) 0.395 Hyperlipidemia 367 (40%) 298 (41%) 69 (38%) 0.395 Hypertension 336 (37%) 266 (37%) 70 (38%) 0.705 Type 2 Diabetes 116 (13%) 80 (11%) 36 (20%) 0.002 Coagulopathy 19 (2.3%) 12 (1.9%) 7 (4.2%) 0.086 Illicit Drug Use	Risk Factor	Overall, N = 908	Male, N = 724	Female, N = 184	p-value
Family History of CAD 425 (47%) 344 (48%) 81 (45%) 0.448 Hyperlipidemia 367 (40%) 298 (41%) 69 (38%) 0.395 Hypertension 336 (37%) 266 (37%) 70 (38%) 0.705 Type 2 Diabetes 116 (13%) 80 (11%) 36 (20%) 0.002 Coagulopathy 19 (2.3%) 12 (1.9%) 7 (4.2%) 0.086 Illicit Drug Use Marijuana 17 (1.9%) 15 (2.1%) 2 (1.1%) 0.547 Cocaine 21 (2.3%) 14 (1.9%) 7 (3.8%) 0.165	BMI	29 (26, 34)	29 (26, 33)	30 (25, 35)	0.156
Hyperlipidemia 367 (40%) 298 (41%) 69 (38%) 0.395 Hypertension 336 (37%) 266 (37%) 70 (38%) 0.705 Type 2 Diabetes 116 (13%) 80 (11%) 36 (20%) 0.002 Coagulopathy 19 (2.3%) 12 (1.9%) 7 (4.2%) 0.086 Illicit Drug Use Marijuana 17 (1.9%) 15 (2.1%) 2 (1.1%) 0.547 Cocaine 21 (2.3%) 14 (1.9%) 7 (3.8%) 0.165	Smoking	625 (69%)	502 (69%)	123 (67%)	0.727
Hypertension 336 (37%) 266 (37%) 70 (38%) 0.705 Type 2 Diabetes 116 (13%) 80 (11%) 36 (20%) 0.002 Coagulopathy 19 (2.3%) 12 (1.9%) 7 (4.2%) 0.086 Illicit Drug Use Marijuana 17 (1.9%) 15 (2.1%) 2 (1.1%) 0.547 Cocaine 21 (2.3%) 14 (1.9%) 7 (3.8%) 0.165	Family History of CAD	425 (47%)	344 (48%)	81 (45%)	0.448
Type 2 Diabetes 116 (13%) 80 (11%) 36 (20%) 0.002 Coagulopathy 19 (2.3%) 12 (1.9%) 7 (4.2%) 0.086 Illicit Drug Use Marijuana 17 (1.9%) 15 (2.1%) 2 (1.1%) 0.547 Cocaine 21 (2.3%) 14 (1.9%) 7 (3.8%) 0.165	Hyperlipidemia	367 (40%)	298 (41%)	69 (38%)	0.395
Coagulopathy 19 (2.3%) 12 (1.9%) 7 (4.2%) 0.086 Illicit Drug Use Marijuana 17 (1.9%) 15 (2.1%) 2 (1.1%) 0.547 Cocaine 21 (2.3%) 14 (1.9%) 7 (3.8%) 0.165	Hypertension	336 (37%)	266 (37%)	70 (38%)	0.705
Illicit Drug Use Marijuana 17 (1.9%) 15 (2.1%) 2 (1.1%) 0.547 Cocaine 21 (2.3%) 14 (1.9%) 7 (3.8%) 0.165	Type 2 Diabetes	116 (13%)	80 (11%)	36 (20%)	0.002
Marijuana 17 (1.9%) 15 (2.1%) 2 (1.1%) 0.547 Cocaine 21 (2.3%) 14 (1.9%) 7 (3.8%) 0.165	Coagulopathy	19 (2.3%)	12 (1.9%)	7 (4.2%)	0.086
Cocaine 21 (2.3%) 14 (1.9%) 7 (3.8%) 0.165	Illicit Drug Use				
	Marijuana	17 (1.9%)	15 (2.1%)	2 (1.1%)	0.547
Methamphetamine 27 (3.0%) 22 (3.0%) 5 (2.7%) 0.819	Cocaine	21 (2.3%)	14 (1.9%)	7 (3.8%)	0.165
	Methamphetamine	27 (3.0%)	22 (3.0%)	5 (2.7%)	0.819

		by Risk F		
	Overall, N = 908	Male, N = 724	Female, N = 184	p-value
ACE Inhibitor/ARB				-
Overall	118 (15%)	92 (14%)	26 (16%)	0.596
History of HTN	100 (<mark>34%</mark>)	79 (34%)	21 (33%)	0.887
Aspirin				
Overall	139 (20%)	110 (20%)	29 (20%)	0.935
History of CAD	64 (<mark>71%</mark>)	51 (73%)	13 (65%)	0.467
Statin				
Overall	107 (16%)	86 (16%)	21 (15%)	0.681
History of HLD	93 (<mark>36%</mark>)	78 (37%)	15 (31%)	0.416

		Male			Female	
tatin Use	Yes, N = 86	No, N = 452	p-value	Yes, N = 21	No, N = 123	p-value
тс	158 (132, 191)	184 (158, 211)	<0.001	156 (142, 185)	169 (150, 195)	0.28
HDL	34 (30, 39)	34 (30, 41)	0.54	34 (30, 38)	38 (32, 46)	0.093
LDL	<mark>89</mark> (72, 105)	<mark>113 (</mark> 90, 137)	<0.001	<mark>92</mark> (73, 110)	<mark>99</mark> (84, 119)	0.197
TG	148 (104, 210)	144 (106, 215)	0.713	131 (118, 346)	122 (87, 168)	0.134



MHIF Cardiovascular Grand Rounds | October 31, 2022

