MHIF FEATURED STUDY: Proact Xa

COMING SOON! EPIC message: *Research MHIF Patient Referral*

CONDITION: Anticoagulation therapy with On-X aortic valve	PI: Benjamin Sun, MD	RESEARCH CONTACT: Alyssa Taffe, RN Alyssa.Taffe@allina.com 612-863-7821	SPONSOR: CryoLife Inc.
--	--------------------------------	---	---------------------------

DESCRIPTION:

A prospective, randomized, active (warfarin) controlled, parallel-arm clinical trial to determine if patients with an On-X aortic valve can be maintained safely and effectively on the factor Xa inhibitor apixaban.

There is an unmet need for an alternative anticoagulant drug (such as apixaban) to use instead of warfarin in participants with an aortic mechanical prosthetic valve. Patients will be randomized 1:1 apixaban versus warfarin 90 days or greater s/p surgery.

CRITERIA LIST/ QUALIFICATIONS:

Inclusion:

- 1. 18 years or greater
- 2. Able to receive warfarin with a target INR of 2.0-3.0
- 3. Implantation of an On-X mechanical valve in the aortic position at least 90 days prior to enrollment

Exclusion:

- 1. Mechanical valve in any other position other than aortic
- 2. Any cardiac surgery 90 days prior to enrollment
- 3. Need to be on aspirin > 100 mg daily or a P2Y12 inhibitor
- 4. On dialysis or creatinine clearance of < 25 mL/min
- 5. Stroke within 3 months of enrollment

Providing an alternative to warfarin may lead younger patients to choose a mechanical valve with greater durability and better clinical outcomes.







	Learning Objectives
	Pathophysiology of different venous disorders
X	Medical management of venous insufficiency
	Risk and benefit of different interventions
ITCOM	Outcome and follow up
	Summary
Minne Heart Institu	Altra Hudh @ ABOTT ABOTT NOTE ABOTT ABOTT ABOTT ABOTT ABOTT Contract ABOTT ABOTT Contract ABOTT ABOTT Contract ABOTT ABOTT Contract ABOTT ABOTT Contract ABOTT ABOTT Contract Contrac

























Venous Clinical Severity Score (VCSS)						
Attribute	Absent (0)	Mild (1)	Moderate (2)	Severe (3)		
Pain	None	Occasional	Daily	Daily w/ meds		
Varicose Veins	None	Few	Multiple	Extensive		
Venous Edema	None	Evening only	Afternoon	Morning		
Skin Pigmentation	None	Limited, old	Diffuse, more recent	Wider, more recent		
Inflammation	None	Mild cellulitis	Moderate cellulitis	Severe		
Induration	None	<5cm focal	<1/3 gaiter	>1/3 gaiter		
No. Active Ulcers	None	1	2	>2		
Active Ulcer Site	None	<2cm	2-6cm	>6cm		
Ulcer Duration	None	<3mo	3-12mo	>1yr		
Compression	None	Intermittent	Most days	Fully comply		
Surg. 2011; 54 (19S): 2S-9S.			H	OPEE OVERED HERE		















Cons	ervative Therapy	
Leg elevation:	• Exercise:	Compression:
- Heart level for 30 mins 3-4 times/day	- Daily walking	- Few high-quality data
- Improves cutaneous microcirculation	- Ankle flexion exercises	- Symptom improvement
- Reduces edema	- Safe and effective	- Challenges:
 41% increase in blood flow Promotes venous ulcer healing 	- Adding exercise to compression improves wound healing	 ✓ Tolerability ✓ Cost
asc Surg. 2009;49(5):1242. Angiol. 1994;13(2):119.		HOPEE DISCOVERED HERE













































MHIF Cardiovascular Grand Rounds – October 5, 2020

Agent, Class, Concentration	Advantages	Disadvantages	Vessel Size (mm)	Concentration (%)	Injection Vein (mL)	Max Dose
Polidocanol	Less painful	Allergy	< 0.5	0.25-0.5	0.25	3% sol
Detergent	Not toxic	Telangiectatic matting	0.5-1	0.5-0.75	0.5	50 kg:5 mL
1 and 3%	Rare ulceration	Hyperpigmentation	1-3	0.75-1	0.5-0.75	60 kg: 6 mL
	No skin necrosis		3-5	1-2	0.75-1	70 kg: 7 mL
			>5	3-5	To max	80 kg: 9 mL
Sodium tetradecyl sulfate (STS)	Less telangiectatic	Allergy	0.2-1	0.1-0.3	0.25	10 mL of 3% solution
Detergent		Hyperpigmentation	1-3	0.25-0.5	0.5	condition
1 and 3 %		Ulceration/necrosis	3-5	0.5-1	0.5-1	
		Extravasation at higher concentrations	>5	1.5-3	To max	
Hypertonic saline	No allergy	Pain	< 0.5	11.7-15	0.25	None
Osmotic		Muscle cramping	0.5-1	117.15	0.5	
14.6 and 23.4%		Ulceration/necrosis	1-3	15-23.4	0.5-1	
		Hyperpigmentation	3-5	-	-	
			>5	-	-	
Glycerin	No matting	Highly allergenic contact sensitivity	<1	25-72	0.25	10 mL of 72%
Osmotic	No ulceration	Rare: Hematuria and urethral colic				oolution
72%	No necrosis	Difficult to work with (extremely viscous)				
MINNEAPOLIS HEART INSTITUTE HOSPITA	Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2006; 18 Dermatol Surg 2002; 28:52 USETERN: J Vasc Endowas Surg 2007; 34:73 J Dermatol Surg Oncol 1990; 16:800. J Vacs Gurg 1999; 29:479. J Dermatol Surg Oncol 1990; 16:327. J Am Acad Dermatol 1989; 20:643.	.CD001732 1.		HOP	HERE Creating a world with	nneapolis eart Institute undation not heart and vescular disease









Technique Comparison					
	Treated vein	Technique	Adverse Reactions		
RFA	GSV, SSV, AASV, IPV	Thermal ablation	EHIT		
	Non-tortuous veins	Tumescence	Nerve injury		
EVLT	GSV, SSV, AASV, IPV	Thermal ablation	EHIT		
	Non-tortuous veins	Tumescence	Nerve injury and skin burns		
Cyanoacrylate Glue	GSV, SSV, AASV (<10 mm)	Glue (foreign body)	Phlebitis		
	Non- tortuous veins	No tumescence	Hypersensitivity to glue		
		Compression may not be needed			
MOCA	Veins <12 mm	Sclero may diffuse in branches	Sclero related		
	Non-tortuous veins	No tumescence			
PEM (1% polidocanol)	Veins < 10mm	Sclero may diffuse into branches	Sclero related		
	Tortuous and partially	No tumescence	Skin discoloration		
	thrombosed veins		Thrombophlebitis		

Summary of Pivotal Studies						
Technique	Study	Endpoint	Closure Rate	Adverse Events		
RFA	Probstle et al VeClose <i>(vs glue)</i>	6 months 3 months	99.6% 96%	DVT 0% Paresthesia 3-3.2 % Phlebitis: 0.8%-3%		
EVLT	Min et al	2 years	93.4%	DVT 0% Paresthesia 1.1%		
Cyanoacrylate glue	VeClose (vs RFA)	3 months	99%	DVT 0% Paresthesia 3% Phlebitis 4%		
MOCA	Elias et al	6 months	96.7%	No PE or CVA		
PEM (1% polidocanol)	VANISH-1 and 2	8 weeks	80.4-86.2%	DVT 1.9% Phlebitis 7.7%		
MINNEAPOLIS HEART INSTITUTE MOSPITAL	J Vasc Surg 2008; 47:151. J Vasc Surg 2015; 61:985. J Vasc Interv Radiolology 2001; 12 Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg 2015; 5 Phtebologu: 2012: 27:67	:1167. 0:784.		HOPEE DISCOVERED HERE		

	Outcome Comparison (CRT)						
	ImmediateRecanalizationNew veins atocclusion %at 3 years %years %						
	EVLT	94	7	20			
	RFA	95	7	15			
	Foam	80	26	19			
	Stripping	96	7	20			
r J Surg 201	1; 98:1079.	N@ ESTERN		HOPEC DISCOVERED HERE			

Complications Overview (Different Studies!)								
	Immediate DVT/EHIT % Thermal Infection % Phlebitis % failure % burns %							
RFA	<10	<5	<1	<1	<5			
EVLT	<10	<5	<1	<1	<5			
Cyanoacrylate	<5	<1	0	<1	<10			
MOCA	<10	<5	0	<1	<5			
PEM	<20	<5	0	<1	<20			
MINNEAPOLIS HEART INSTITUTE HOSPITAL	J Vasc Surg Venous Lym J Vasc Surg 2015; 61:985 Eur J Vasc Endovasc Sur J Endovasc Ther 2011; 11	phat Disord 2014; 2:105. 5. (VeClose) g 2013; 45:299. 8:328.		HOP	Minneapo Heart Inst Creating a world without heart and war			























